

(12) **United States Patent**
Kiester

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,398,925 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jul. 26, 2016**

(54) **EXPANDABLE ROD SYSTEM TO TREAT SCOLIOSIS AND METHOD OF USING THE SAME**

(71) Applicant: **ELLIPSE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**, Irvine, CA (US)

(72) Inventor: **P. Douglas Kiester**, Irvine, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **NuVasive Specialized Orthopedics, Inc.**, San Diego, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/321,386**

(22) Filed: **Jul. 1, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2014/0371796 A1 Dec. 18, 2014

Related U.S. Application Data
(60) Continuation of application No. 13/691,530, filed on Nov. 30, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,852,236, which is a continuation of application No. 12/421,569, filed on Apr. 9, 2009, now Pat. No. 8,343,192, which is a
(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 17/70 (2006.01)
A61B 17/68 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **A61B 17/7016** (2013.01); **A61B 17/70** (2013.01); **A61B 17/7023** (2013.01); **A61B 17/7004** (2013.01); **A61B 2017/681** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC **A61B 17/7023**; **A61B 17/7016**; **A61B 17/7004**
USPC **606/63, 68, 258-260, 266**
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,702,031 A 2/1955 Wenger
3,810,259 A 5/1974 Summers

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 8515687 10/1985
DE 102005045070 4/2007

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Abe, J., Nagata, K., Ariyoshi, M., Inoue, A., "Experimental External Fixation Combined with Percutaneous Discectomy in the Management of Scoliosis", Spine, 1999, vol. 24, No. 7, pp. 646-653, Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, U.S.A.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Ellen C Hammond


Assistant Examiner — Stuart S Bray

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Correction of a scoliotic curve in a spine comprises the steps of implanting an expanding rod isolated completely under the skin and attached to selected portions of the scoliotic curve of the spine at opposing ends of the rod; and producing a controlled force by means of expansion of the rod over at an extended time period under external control until a desired spinal curve is obtained. An incremental force is generated to stretch the scoliotic curve of the spine between the selected portions where attachment of the rod is defined. The controlled force is provided steadily for at least one month or alternatively 1-3 months. Multiple rods may be employed each associated with a different scoliotic curve of the spine or a different portion of the scoliotic curve.

20 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

division of application No. 11/172,678, filed on Jun. 30, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,955,357.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/584,961, filed on Jul. 2, 2004.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,976,060 A 8/1976 Hildebrandt et al.
 4,078,559 A 3/1978 Nissinen
 4,448,191 A 5/1984 Rodnyansky et al.
 4,522,501 A 6/1985 Shannon
 4,537,520 A 8/1985 Ochiai et al.
 4,573,454 A 3/1986 Hoffman
 4,658,809 A 4/1987 Ulrich
 4,854,304 A 8/1989 Zielke
 4,931,055 A 6/1990 Bumpus et al.
 4,957,495 A 9/1990 Kluger
 4,973,331 A 11/1990 Pursley et al.
 5,010,879 A 4/1991 Moriya et al.
 5,030,235 A 7/1991 Campbell, Jr.
 5,074,882 A 12/1991 Grammont et al.
 5,092,889 A 3/1992 Campbell, Jr.
 5,133,716 A 7/1992 Plaza
 5,261,908 A 11/1993 Campbell, Jr.
 5,263,955 A 11/1993 Baumgart et al.
 5,290,289 A 3/1994 Sanders et al.
 5,336,223 A 8/1994 Rogers
 5,466,261 A 11/1995 Richelsoph
 5,527,309 A 6/1996 Shelton
 5,575,790 A * 11/1996 Chen et al. 606/60
 5,626,579 A 5/1997 Muschler et al.
 5,632,744 A 5/1997 Campbell, Jr.
 5,672,175 A 9/1997 Martin
 5,672,177 A 9/1997 Seldin
 5,704,939 A 1/1998 Justin
 5,720,746 A 2/1998 Soubeiran
 5,800,434 A 9/1998 Campbell, Jr.
 5,810,815 A 9/1998 Morales
 5,902,304 A 5/1999 Walker et al.
 5,961,553 A 10/1999 Coty et al.
 6,033,412 A * 3/2000 Losken et al. 606/105
 6,074,882 A 6/2000 Eckardt
 6,106,525 A 8/2000 Sachse
 6,126,660 A 10/2000 Dietz
 6,200,317 B1 3/2001 Aalsma et al.
 6,200,324 B1 * 3/2001 Regni, Jr. 606/105
 6,241,730 B1 6/2001 Alby
 6,245,071 B1 * 6/2001 Pierson 606/58
 6,336,929 B1 1/2002 Justin
 6,343,568 B1 2/2002 McClasky
 6,358,283 B1 3/2002 Hogfors et al.
 6,375,682 B1 4/2002 Fleischmann et al.
 6,416,516 B1 7/2002 Stauch et al.
 6,417,750 B1 7/2002 Sohn
 6,510,345 B1 1/2003 Van Bentem
 6,537,196 B1 3/2003 Creighton, IV et al.
 6,554,831 B1 4/2003 Rivard et al.
 6,565,576 B1 5/2003 Stauch et al.
 6,616,669 B2 9/2003 Ogilvie et al.
 6,657,351 B2 12/2003 Chen et al.
 6,706,042 B2 * 3/2004 Taylor 606/57
 6,730,087 B1 * 5/2004 Butsch 606/57
 6,765,330 B2 7/2004 Baur
 6,796,984 B2 9/2004 Soubeiran
 6,835,207 B2 12/2004 Zacouto et al.
 6,849,076 B2 2/2005 Blunn et al.
 6,852,113 B2 2/2005 Nathanson et al.
 6,918,910 B2 7/2005 Smith et al.
 6,971,143 B2 12/2005 Domroese
 7,011,658 B2 3/2006 Young
 7,029,472 B1 4/2006 Fortin
 7,063,706 B2 * 6/2006 Wittenstein 606/90
 7,135,022 B2 11/2006 Kosashvili et al.
 7,357,635 B2 4/2008 Belfor et al.

7,458,981 B2 12/2008 Fielding
 7,481,841 B2 * 1/2009 Hazebrouck et al. 623/18.12
 7,531,002 B2 5/2009 Sutton et al.
 7,601,156 B2 10/2009 Robinson
 7,666,184 B2 2/2010 Stauch
 7,708,737 B2 * 5/2010 Kraft et al. 606/63
 7,776,091 B2 8/2010 Mastrorio et al.
 7,794,476 B2 9/2010 Wisnewski
 7,942,908 B2 5/2011 Sacher et al.
 8,105,363 B2 1/2012 Fielding et al.
 8,216,275 B2 7/2012 Fielding et al.
 8,343,192 B2 1/2013 Kiester
 8,486,110 B2 7/2013 Fielding et al.
 8,623,036 B2 1/2014 Harrison et al.
 8,623,092 B2 * 1/2014 Bickley et al. 623/18.11
 8,641,723 B2 * 2/2014 Connor 606/105
 8,852,236 B2 10/2014 Kiester
 9,011,499 B1 4/2015 Kiester
 2002/0050112 A1 5/2002 Koch et al.
 2002/0138077 A1 9/2002 Ferree
 2003/0144669 A1 7/2003 Robinson
 2003/0220643 A1 11/2003 Ferree
 2004/0023623 A1 2/2004 Stauch et al.
 2004/0030395 A1 2/2004 Blunn et al.
 2004/0116945 A1 6/2004 Sharkaway et al.
 2004/0138663 A1 7/2004 Koshashvili et al.
 2004/0193266 A1 9/2004 Meyer
 2004/0236329 A1 11/2004 Panjabi
 2005/0055025 A1 3/2005 Zacouto et al.
 2005/0080427 A1 4/2005 Govari et al.
 2005/0090823 A1 4/2005 Bartim
 2005/0107787 A1 5/2005 Kutsenko
 2005/0113831 A1 5/2005 Franck et al.
 2005/0113927 A1 5/2005 Malek
 2005/0159637 A9 7/2005 Nelson et al.
 2005/0159754 A1 7/2005 Odrich
 2005/0234448 A1 10/2005 McCarthy
 2005/0246034 A1 11/2005 Soubeiran
 2005/0251109 A1 11/2005 Soubeiran
 2005/0261779 A1 * 11/2005 Meyer 623/23.47
 2006/0004447 A1 * 1/2006 Mastrorio et al. 623/17.11
 2006/0004459 A1 1/2006 Hazebrouck et al.
 2006/0009767 A1 1/2006 Kiester
 2006/0036259 A1 2/2006 Carl et al.
 2006/0036323 A1 2/2006 Carl et al.
 2006/0036324 A1 2/2006 Sachs et al.
 2006/0047282 A1 3/2006 Gordon
 2006/0052782 A1 3/2006 Morgan et al.
 2006/0058792 A1 3/2006 Hynes
 2006/0069447 A1 3/2006 Disilvestro et al.
 2006/0074448 A1 4/2006 Harrison et al.
 2006/0079897 A1 4/2006 Harrison et al.
 2006/0085043 A1 4/2006 Stevenson
 2006/0136062 A1 6/2006 DiNello et al.
 2006/0155279 A1 7/2006 Ogilvie
 2006/0195087 A1 8/2006 Sacher et al.
 2006/0195088 A1 8/2006 Sacher et al.
 2006/0204156 A1 9/2006 Takehara et al.
 2006/0271107 A1 11/2006 Harrison et al.
 2006/0282106 A1 12/2006 Cole et al.
 2006/0293683 A1 12/2006 Stauch
 2007/0010814 A1 1/2007 Stauch
 2007/0010834 A1 1/2007 Sharkaway et al.
 2007/0010887 A1 1/2007 Williams et al.
 2007/0016202 A1 1/2007 Kraft et al.
 2007/0043376 A1 2/2007 Leatherbury et al.
 2007/0050030 A1 3/2007 Kim
 2007/0055125 A1 3/2007 Anderson et al.
 2007/0173837 A1 7/2007 Chan et al.
 2007/0179493 A1 8/2007 Kim
 2007/0191846 A1 8/2007 Bruneau et al.
 2007/0213751 A1 9/2007 Scirica et al.
 2007/0213812 A1 9/2007 Webler et al.
 2007/0233098 A1 10/2007 Mastrorio et al.
 2007/0239159 A1 10/2007 Altarac et al.
 2007/0239161 A1 10/2007 Giger et al.
 2007/0244488 A1 10/2007 Metzger et al.
 2007/0255088 A1 11/2007 Jacobson et al.
 2007/0255273 A1 11/2007 Fernandez et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2007/0270803	A1	11/2007	Giger et al.	
2007/0276368	A1	11/2007	Trieu et al.	
2007/0276369	A1	11/2007	Allard et al.	
2007/0276378	A1	11/2007	Harrison et al.	
2007/0288024	A1	12/2007	Gollogly	
2008/0027436	A1	1/2008	Cournoyer et al.	
2008/0033436	A1	2/2008	Song et al.	
2008/0048855	A1	2/2008	Berger	
2008/0065181	A1	3/2008	Stevenson	
2008/0177319	A1	7/2008	Schwab	
2008/0177326	A1	7/2008	Thompson	
2008/0272928	A1	11/2008	Shuster et al.	
2009/0030462	A1	1/2009	Butterman	
2009/0082690	A1	3/2009	Philips et al.	
2009/0093820	A1*	4/2009	Trieu et al.	606/103
2009/0105766	A1	4/2009	Thompson et al.	
2009/0204156	A1	8/2009	McClintock et al.	
2010/0049204	A1*	2/2010	Soubeiran	606/90
2010/0106192	A1	4/2010	Barry	
2010/0217271	A1	8/2010	Pool et al.	
2010/0228167	A1*	9/2010	Ilovich et al.	601/89
2011/0004076	A1	1/2011	Janna et al.	
2011/0137347	A1*	6/2011	Hunziker	606/258
2012/0022597	A1	1/2012	Gephart et al.	
2012/0089191	A1	4/2012	Altarac et al.	
2014/0128868	A1	5/2014	Harrison et al.	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FR	2900563	11/2007	
FR	2901991	12/2007	
WO	WO 99/51160	A1	10/1999
WO	WO 2004/019796	A1	3/2004
WO	WO 2006/090380	A2	8/2006
WO	WO 2007/015239	A2	2/2007
WO	WO 2007/025191	A1	3/2007
WO	WO 2007/118179	A2	10/2007
WO	WO 2007/144489	A2	12/2007
WO	WO 2008/003952	A1	1/2008
WO	WO 2008/040880	A2	4/2008

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Buchowski, J., Bhatnagar, R., Skaggs, D., Sponseller, P., "Temporary Internal Distraction as an Aid to Correction of Severe Scoliosis", *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Edition*, 2006, vol. 88A, No. 9, pp. 2035-2041, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, Boston, U.S.A.

Buchowski, J., Skaggs, D., Sponseller, P., "Temporary Internal Distraction as an Aid to Correction of Severe Scoliosis. Surgical Technique", *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Edition*, 2007, vol. 89A No. Supp 2 (Pt. 2), pp. 297-309, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, Boston, U.S.A.

Burke, J. "Design of a Minimally Invasive Non Fusion Device for the Surgical Management of Scoliosis in the Skeletally Immature", *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics*, 2006, vol. 123, pp. 378-384, IOS Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Cole, J., Paley, D., Dahl, M., "Operative Technique, ISKD. Intramedullary Skeletal Kinetic Distractor. Tibial Surgical Technique" IS-0508(A)-OPT-US © Orthofix Inc. Nov. 2005.

Daniels, A., Gemperline, P., Grahn, A., Dunn, H., "A New Method for Continuous Intraoperative Measurement of Harrington Rod Loading Patterns", *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 1984, vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 233-246, Dordrecht Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, U.S.A.

Edelan, H., Eriksson, G., Dahlberg, E., "Instrument for distraction by limited surgery in scoliosis treatment", *Journal of Biomedical Engineering*, 1981, vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 143-146, Butterfield Scientific Limited, Guilford, England.

Ember, T., Noordeen, H., "Distraction forces required during growth rod lengthening", *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery British Edition*, 2006, vol. 88B, No. Supp II, p. 229, Churchill Livingstone, London, England.

Gao et al., CHD7 Gene Polymorphisms Are Associated with Susceptibility to Idiopathic Scoliosis, *American Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 80, pp. 957-965 (May 2007).

Gebhart, M., Neel, M., Soubeiran, A., Dubousset, J., "Early clinical experience with a custom made growing endoprosthesis in children with malignant bone tumors of the lower extremity actoned by an external permanent magnet: the Phenix M system", *International Society of Limb Salvage 14th International Symposium on Limb Salvage*, Sep. 13, 2007, Hamburg, Germany.

Gillespie, R., O'Brien, J., "Harrington Instrumentation without Fusion", *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery British Edition*, 1981, vol. 63B, No. 3, p. 461, Churchill Livingstone, London, England.

Grass, P., Soto, A., Araya, H., "Intermittent Distracting Rod for Correction of High Neurologic Risk Congenital Scoliosis", *Spine*, 1997, vol. 22, No. 16, pp. 1922-1927, Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, U.S.A.

Grimer, R., Chotel, F., Abudu, S., Tillman, R., Carter, S., "Non-invasive extendable endoprosthesis for children—expensive but worth it", *International Society of Limb Salvage 14th International Symposium on Limb Salvage*, Sep. 13, 2007, Hamburg, Germany.

Guichet, J., Deromedis, B., Donnan, L., Peretti, G., Lascombes, P., Bado, F., "Gradual Femoral Lengthening with the Albizzia Intramedullary Nail", *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Edition*, 2003, vol. 85, pp. 838-848. (12 pages).

Gupta, A., Meswania, J., Pollock, R., Cannon, S., Briggs, T., Taylor, S., Blunn, G., "Non-Invasive Distal Femoral Expandable Endoprosthesis for Limb-Salvage Surgery in Paediatric Tumours", *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery British Edition*, 2006, vol. 88-B, No. 5, pp. 649-654, Churchill Livingstone, London, England.

Hankemeier, S., Gosling, T., Pape, H., Wiebking, U., Krettek, C., "Limb Lengthening with the Intramedullary Skeletal Kinetic Distractor (ISKD)", *Operative Orthopädie und Traumatologie*, 2005, vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 79-101, Urban & Vogel, Munich, Germany.

Harrington, P., "Treatment of Scoliosis: Correction and Internal Fixation by Spine Instrumentation", *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Edition*, 1962, vol. 44A, No. 4, pp. 591-610, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, Boston, U.S.A.

Hazem Elsebaie M.D., *Single Growing Rods (Review of 21 cases). Changing the Foundations: Does it affect the Results?*, *J Child Orthop.* (2007) 1:258.

Klemme, W., Denis, F., Winter, R., Lonstein, J., Koop, S., "Spinal Instrumentation without Fusion for Progressive Scoliosis in Young Children", *Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics*. 1997, vol. 17, No. 6, pp. 734-742, Raven Press, New York, U.S.A.

Lonner, B., "Emerging minimally invasive technologies for the management of scoliosis", *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*, 2007; vol. 38, No. 3, pp. 431-440, Saunders, Philadelphia, U.S.A.

Marco Teli, M.D. et al. *Measurement of Forces Generated During Distraction of Growing Rods*. Marco Teli, *J. Child Orthop* (2007) 1:257-258.

Mineiro, J., Weinstein, S., "Subcutaneous Rodding for Progressive Spinal Curvatures: Early Results", *Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics*, 2002, vol. 22, No. 3, pp. 290-295, Raven Press, New York, U.S.A.

Moe, J., Kharrat, K., Winter, R., Cummine, J., "Harrington Instrumentation without Fusion Plus External Orthotic Support for the Treatment of Difficult Curvature Problems in Young Children", *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 1984, No. 185, pp. 35-45, Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, U.S.A.

Nachemson, A., Elfstrom, G., "Intravital Wireless Telemetry of Axial Forces in Harrington Distraction Rods In Patients with Idiopathic Scoliosis", *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Edition*, 1971, vol. 53A, No. 3, pp. 445-465, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, Boston, U.S.A.

Nachlas, I., Borden, J., "The cure of experimental scoliosis by directed growth control". *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Edition*, 1951, vol. 33, No. A:1, pp. 24-34, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, Boston, U.S.A.

Newton, P., "Fusionless Scoliosis Correction by Anterolateral Tethering . . . Can it Work?", 39th Annual Scoliosis Research Society Meeting, Sep. 6, 2004, Buenos Aires, Argentina (transcript and slides supplied).

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Rathjen, K., Wood, M., McClung, A., Vest, Z., "Clinical and Radiographic Results after Implant Removal in Idiopathic Scoliosis", *Spine*, 2007, vol. 32, No. 20, pp. 2184-2188, Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, U.S.A.

Reyes-Sanchez, A., Rosales, L., Miramontes, V., "External Fixation for Dynamic Correction of Severe Scoliosis", *The Spine Journal*, 2005, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 418-426, Elsevier Science Inc., New York, U.S.A.

Rinsky, L., Gamble, J., Bleck, E., "Segmental Instrumentation Without fusion In Children with Progressive Scoliosis", *Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics*, 1985, vol. 5, No. 6, pp. 687-690, Raven Press, New York, U.S.A.

Schmerling, M., Wilkov, M., Sanders, A., "Using the Shape Recovery of Nitinol in the Harrington Rod Treatment of Scoliosis", *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*, 1976, vol. 10, No. 6, pp. 879-892, Wiley, Hoboken, U.S.A.

Sharke, P., "The Machinery of Life", *Mechanical Engineering Magazine*, Feb. 2004, Printed from Internet site Oct. 24, 2007 <http://www.memagazine.org/contents/current/features/moflife/moflife.html>.

Smith J., "The Use of Growth-Sparing Instrumentation in Pediatric Spinal Deformity", *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*, 2007, vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 547-552, Saunders, Philadelphia, U.S.A.

Soubeiran, A., Gebhart, M., Miladi, L., Griffet, J., Neel, M., Dubousset, J., "The Phenix M system, a fully implanted non-invasive lengthening device externally controllable through the skin with a palm size permanent magnet. Applications limb salvage" *International Society of Limb Salvage 14th International Symposium on Limb Salvage*, Sep. 13, 2007, Hamburg, Germany.

Soubeiran, A., Gebhart, M., Miladi, L., Griffet, J., Neel, M., Dubousset, J., *The Phenix M System. A Mechanical Fully Implanted Lengthening Device Externally Controllable Through the Skin with a Palm Size Permanent Magnet; Applications to Pediatric Orthopaedics*, 6th European Research Conference in Pediatric Orthopaedics, Oct. 6, 2006, Toulouse, France.

Takaso, M., Moriya, H., Kitahara, H., Minami, S., Takahashi, K., Isobe, K., Yamagata, M., Otsuka, Y., Nakata, Y., Inoue, M., "New remote-controlled growing-rod spinal instrumentation possibly

applicable for scoliosis in young children", *Journal of Orthopaedic Science*, 1998, vol. 3, No. 6, pp. 336-340, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, Japan.

Tello C., "Harrington Instrumentation without Arthrodesis and Consecutive Distraction Program for Young Children with Sever Spinal Deformities: Experience and Technical Details", *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*, vol. 25, No. 2 1994. pp. 333-351. (19 pages).

Thompson, G., Akbarnia, B., Campbell, R., "Growing Rod Techniques in Early-Onset Scoliosis", *Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics*, 2007, vol. 27, No. 3, pp. 354-361, Raven Press, New York, U.S.A.

Thompson, G., Lenke, L., Akbarnia, B., McCarthy, R., Campbell, Jr., R., "Early Onset Scoliosis: Future Directions", 2007, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery American Edition*, vol. 89A, No. Supp 1, pp. 163-166, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, Boston, U.S.A.

Trias, A., Bourassa, P., Massoud, M., "Dynamic Loads Experienced in Correction of Idiopathic Scoliosis Using Two Types of Harrington Rods", *Spine*, 1979, vol. 4, No. 3, pp. 228-235, Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, U.S.A.

Verkerke, G., Koops, H., Veth, R., Grootenboer, H., De Boer, L., Oldhoff, J., Postma, A. "Development and Test of an Extendable Endoprosthesis for Bone Reconstruction in the Leg", *The International Journal of Artificial Organs*, 1994, vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 155-162, Wichtig Editore, Milan, Italy.

Verkerke, G., Koops, H., Veth, R., Oldhoff, J., Nielsen, H., vanden Kroonenberg, H., Grootenboer, H., van Krieken, F., "Design of a Lengthening Element for a Modular Femur Endoprosthetic System", *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine*, 1989, vol. 203, No. 2, pp. 97-102, Mechanical Engineering Publications, London, England.

Verkerke, G., Koops, H., Veth, R., van den Kroonenberg, H., Grootenboer, H., Nielsen, H., Oldhoff, J., Postma, A., "An Extendable Modular Endoprosthetic System for Bone Tumour Management in the Leg", *Journal of Biomedical Engineering*, 1990, vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 91-96, Butterfield Scientific Limited, Guilford, England.

Wenger, H., "Spine Jack Operation in the Correction of Scoliotic Deformity", *Archives of Surgery*, 1961, vol. 83, pp. 123-132 (901-910), American Medical Association, Chicago, U.S.A.

White, A., Panjabi, M., "The Clinical Biomechanics of Scoliosis", *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 1976, No. 118, pp. 100-112, Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, U.S.A.

* cited by examiner




Fig. 1





Fig. 2






Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5

1

**EXPANDABLE ROD SYSTEM TO TREAT
SCOLIOSIS AND METHOD OF USING THE
SAME**

RELATED APPLICATIONS

Any and all applications for which a foreign or domestic priority claim is identified in the Application Data Sheet as filed with the present application are hereby incorporated by reference under 37 CFR 1.57.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The invention relates to the field of medical devices for treating scoliosis and methods of treatment using such medical devices, or methods of using such devices.

2. Description of the Prior Art

Adolescent (also called idiopathic) scoliosis is believed to be the direct result of a tight ligamentum flavum during rapid growth. The ligamentum flavum is a strong discontinuous (or segmental) ligament full of elastic fibers (which gives it its yellow color) that runs along the posterior aspect of the spinal canal. The posterior aspect of the dura, at any given spinal level, touches lamina for one third of its length, and ligamentum for the other two thirds. In the lumbar spine it acts as the center of rotation (and maybe the center of rotation for the thoracic as well, which would help explain the limited rotation available in the thoracic spine).

If indeed scoliosis is the result of a tight ligamentum flavum, then correction of scoliosis should be achievable by mechanical stretching. Rapid correction of a scoliotic curve is now possible because great force through multiple points of solid fixation are now available. These methods still, however, are described as steps toward inserting instrumentation for a fusion of vertebrae. A slower and steady stretch over time, would be much less violent, and would allow the use of much less force and fewer points of fixation.

What is needed is some kind of device and mechanics to perform the needed mechanical stretching.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The illustrated embodiment of the invention includes a method for correction of a scoliotic curve in a spine comprising the steps of implanting an expanding rod isolated completely under the skin and attached to selected portions of the scoliotic curve of the spine at opposing ends of the rod; and producing a controlled force by means of expansion of the rod over at an extended time period under external control until a desired spinal curve is obtained.

The step of producing a controlled force comprises the step of generating an incremental force to stretch the scoliotic curve of the spine between the selected portions where attachment of the rod is defined. The step of generating an incremental force to stretch the scoliotic curve of the spine comprises the step of generating a force using a curved rod in a direction tending to align with the spine at the selected portions where attachment of the rod is defined.

The step of implanting the expanding rod comprises implanting the expanding rod posteriorly to the spine.

The step of producing a controlled force by means of expansion of the rod over at an extended time period comprises the step of producing the controlled force at a predetermined level over time without any combination with fusion of vertebrae.

2

The step of producing the controlled force by means of expansion of the rod over at an extended time period comprises producing the controlled force steadily for at least one month or alternatively 1-3 months.

5 The step of producing the controlled force by means of expansion of the rod over at an extended time period comprises the step of producing the controlled force steadily for such period of time as is sufficient to stretch the ligamentum flavum of the spine enough to allow the spine to straighten.

10 The invention further contemplates repeating the steps of implanting an expanding rod with multiple rods, where each rod is associated with a different scoliotic curve of the spine or a different portion of the scoliotic curve of the spine, and separately producing a controlled force by means of expansion of each corresponding rod over at a corresponding extended time period.

15 The step of producing the controlled force by means of expansion of the rod comprises the step of producing the controlled force by means of a ratcheted longitudinal extension of the rod powered by an energy source biologically isolated from the rod by a skin barrier.

20 The invention also comprises an apparatus used for performing each of the above embodiments of the illustrated method. The invention thus includes among other embodiments a kit of a plurality of expanding rods with multiple rods, each rod being associated with a different scoliotic curve of the spine or a different portion of the scoliotic curve of the spine and separately producing a controlled force by means of expansion of each corresponding rod over at a corresponding extended time period.

25 The means for producing the controlled force by means of expansion of the rod comprises means for producing the controlled force by a ratcheted longitudinal extension of the rod powered by an energy source biologically isolated from the rod by a skin barrier.

30 Stated in an alternative form, the invention includes embodiments where the invention is an apparatus for correction of a scoliotic curve in a spine comprising an expanding rod isolated completely under the skin; attachment screws to couple the rod to the spine at opposing ends of the rod; and a source of controlled force coupled to the rod to longitudinally extend the rod over an extended defined period of time under external control until a desired spinal curve is obtained.

35 The rod comprises a pair of telescopically coupled sleeves; and means to prevent relative rotation of the sleeves. The apparatus is combined with an external source of power, and in one embodiment the source of the controlled force comprises a torsion spring coupled to a second one of the pair of sleeves, a torsion motor coupled to the spring for torsioning the torsion spring, a power pick up electrically coupled to the torsion motor for powering the motor from the external source of power, a ratchet and a screw drive coupled to the ratchet at one end and to a first one of the pair of sleeves at an opposing end, so that a force applied to the screw drive from the torsion motor to the first one of the pair of sleeves over time longitudinally expands the collective length of the pair of sleeves. In the illustrated embodiment the torsion motor comprises a muscle wire.

40 More generally, where the invention is characterized as a combination of an expanding rod, attachment screws, a source of controlled force, and a ratchet, where the source of controlled force produces a force over a defined period of time, which force is continually maintained to some degree by the ratchet after initial generation by the source until the spine to which the force is steadily applied straightens at least to a partial degree.

3

While the apparatus and method has or will be described for the sake of grammatical fluidity with functional explanations, it is to be expressly understood that the claims, unless expressly formulated under 35 USC 112, are not to be construed as necessarily limited in any way by the construction of “means” or “steps” limitations, but are to be accorded the full scope of the meaning and equivalents of the definition provided by the claims under the judicial doctrine of equivalents, and in the case where the claims are expressly formulated under 35 USC 112 are to be accorded full statutory equivalents under 35 USC 112. The invention can be better visualized by turning now to the following drawings wherein like elements are referenced by like numerals.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a side perspective view of a telescoping rod of the invention showing the telescopic sleeves.

FIG. 2 is a side perspective view of a drive unit of the illustrated embodiment of the invention included in the rod of FIG. 1.

FIG. 2a is a side perspective transparent view of the invention as depicted in FIGS. 1 and 2 shown in assembled form.

FIG. 2b is a side perspective transparent view of an embodiment of a drive unit having a linear motor.

FIG. 3 is a posterior view, moving from the left to the right of the drawing, of a straight, lateral bending and scoliotic spine respectively.

FIG. 4 is a posterior view of the rod of the invention as implanted next to a bent scoliotic spine in the left most depiction and next to a straightened spine in the right most depiction of the drawing.

FIG. 5 is a side perspective view of a pair of pedicle screws showing a rotational coupling to the end of the rod of the invention.

The invention and its various embodiments can now be better understood by turning to the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments which are presented as illustrated examples of the invention defined in the claims. It is expressly understood that the invention as defined by the claims may be broader than the illustrated embodiments described below.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The invention comprises a rod, generally denoted by reference numeral 10, for the treatment of scoliosis by slow stretching of selected biological tissues, for example, a selected portion of the spine, which is implantable into the body and is under external control. The invention allows for surgical treatment of the spine without fusion of any of the vertebrae, and can be used not only for scoliosis, but also in pediatric orthopedics such as for leg or limb lengthening.

For the purposes of the illustrated disclosure, it is assumed that adolescent (also called idiopathic) scoliosis is the direct result of a tight ligamentum flavum during rapid growth. The evidence for this assumption is impressive and overwhelming. The second assumption made in the illustrated disclosure for the sake of simplicity is that we are treating a simple, single curve, although the indications and complexities of extending the use of the invention to more complex curves is explicitly within the scope of the invention. Where multiple rods 10 are implanted each rod 10 may be fixed across a different scoliotic curve where multiple scoliotic curves exist, or may be fixed across different portions of a single scoliotic

4

curve. In such a case, each one of the multiple rods can be separately controlled to produce the needed straightening force or expansion.

The proposed device comprises an expanding or telescopic rod 10 as shown in side perspective view in FIG. 1. As described below, the opposing ends of rod 10 will be fixed to selected positions on the spine using conventional surgical screws. The rod 10 must produce a controlled force, slowly over time, under precise external control, and be isolated or implanted completely under the skin and protected by the natural barrier, which the skin provides. Rod 10 also needs to be small, powerful, simple enough to be readily manufactured, immune to accidental activation, and biologically inert. Rod 10 of the illustrated embodiment meets each and all of these criteria.

The rod 10 can be as small as ½" (1.27 cm) in diameter and typically can be made much smaller, subject only to material limitations arising from the strength of the rod 10 itself. Rod 10 can be made from any bioengineered or biological inert material desired, and in the illustrated embodiment is fabricated from metal, such as surgical quality stainless steel. Rod 10 is comprised of an inner hollow cylindrical sleeve 14 telescopically disposed in outer, hollow cylindrical sleeve 16. The outer diameter of cylindrical sleeve 14 is chosen to telescopically slide without binding in the inner diameter of cylindrical sleeve 16 along their common longitudinal axes. A pin 12 is fixed to cylindrical sleeve 14 and extends radially therefrom through a longitudinal slot 18 defined through the wall of cylindrical sleeve 16 to stop rotation of cylindrical sleeve 14 relative to cylindrical sleeve 16 while allowing free relative longitudinal movement of cylindrical sleeve 14 relative to cylindrical sleeve 16 at least to the extent of the length of slot 18. Sleeves 14 and 16 are shown as circular cylinders in the illustrated embodiment, but pin 12 may be removed and elliptically or other prismatic shapes may be used in place of a cylindrical shape, which would equivalently stop or limit their relative rotation to each other. Therefore, when in the specification the term, “sleeve” is used without modification, it should be understood to have a general prismatic shape.

The larger cylindrical sleeve 16 is internally threaded. The small longitudinally extending post 20 on the right end 22 of the smaller cylindrical sleeve 14 as seen in FIG. 1 is where the drive unit, generally denoted by reference numeral 24, attaches as described below. Drive unit 24 as shown in the side perspective view of FIG. 2 is housed or disposed inside the cylindrical sleeve 16 with the left end 40 of a torsion spring 26 disposed within and affixed to sleeve 14 as shown in FIG. 2a. The opposing end 42 of torsion spring 26 is coupled to or bears against a segment end 44 of shell 36. Shell 36 is disposed in sleeve 16 as shown in FIG. 2a and rotates within the end of sleeve 14, but is held by a stop(s) 37 from telescopically sliding into sleeve 14. Shell 36 is thus longitudinally fixed relative to sleeve 14, but is free to rotate relative to sleeve 14 at least through a defined angular range. Thus, as shell 36 is rotated as described below, torsion spring 26 which has one end connected to sleeve 14 and the other end to shell 36 will wind up or down depending on the sense of rotation of shell 36.

FIG. 2 shows the drive unit in exploded view which is comprised of spring 26, motor 28, pickup coil 30, ratchet 32 and screw drive 34. These elements represent the simplest configuration for the drive unit 24, although it must be understood that any drive unit now known or later devised capable of providing the same or similar force as the illustrated drive unit 24 can be equivalently substituted without departing from the illustrated embodiment of the invention. Because of

energy transfer considerations relating to coil 30 and wire 38, additional electronic or electrical components may be required as discussed below.

The motor 28 comprises a muscle wire 38 wound onto a cylindrical shell 36. Muscle wire 38 is well known to the art and is also called nitinol wire. When current is passed through the wire 38, it heats and contracts. Wire 38 is electrically connected to or in circuit with coil 30 in which a current is inductively generated. One end 48 of wire 38 is coupled or connected to shell 36. The opposing end 46 of wire 38 is coupled or connected to sleeve 14 at post 20 as shown in FIG. 2a. The contraction of wire 38 causes the entire remaining portion of drive unit 24 to rotate against the spring 26. In other words, as wire 38 ohmically heats due to the current supplied to it from coil 30 and its length contracts, wire 38 will cause shell 36 to rotate. Shell 36 is rotationally coupled or connected to ratchet 32, which rotates with shell 36 in both senses of rotation. Ratchet 32 is coupled to screw drive 34 through a conventional ratcheting mechanism (not shown) thereby causing screw drive 34 to rotate in one sense of rotation, but slipping with respect to screw drive 34 in the opposite sense of direction. Thus, the screw drive 34 is rotated within sleeve 16 and driven forward or to the left in FIG. 1 causing sleeve 14 to telescope out of sleeve 16. The combined length of sleeves 14 and 16 thus increases. When the wire 38 cools there is no further torque applied to shell 36 by wire 38, and torsion spring 26 will rotate shell 36 back to its original angular position within drive unit 24. Grooves are cut into the screw threads to resist rotation of screw drive 34 in the opposite direction thereby insuring that ratchet 32 slips against the screw drive 34 when shell 36 is returned to its original angular orientation. Screw drive 34 remains in a new advanced longitudinal position within sleeve 16. In this manner rod 10 can be repeatedly incrementally lengthened by repeated heat cycling of motor 28. Rod 10 can only lengthen and the ratcheting action of ratchet 32 prevents it from shortening. The ratchet 32 is designed to release or disengage from screw drive 34 when a screw-driver or Allen wrench is placed into through-hex socket 50 of screw drive 34 during surgical placement and adjustment. Torsion spring 26 also provides some longitudinal compressive force which tends to urge ratchet 32 against screw drive 34, but placement of a tool through socket 50 allows ratchet 32 to be backed off and to disengage from screw 32. Withdrawal of the tool then allows spring 26 to urge ratchet 32 back into engagement with screw drive 34. The initial length of rod 10 can thus be manually adjusted up or down at the time of its initial surgical implantation.

The pick-up coil 30 receives energy from an external hand-held source of energy (not shown), such as a low frequency generator of electromagnetic radiation, which is brought into proximity with coil 30. Rod 10 is implanted beneath the skin barrier, while the source of energy is exterior to the body. The external inductive power source may be driven at conventional line frequency. In the event that the coil 30 is able to efficiently drive the muscle wire 38, then either a storage capacitor with a control diode can be added in circuit with coil 30, or with more complexity, a battery with a diode voltage multiplier, and control diode could be used. Any means of impedance matching between coil 30 and wire 38 on one hand and between coil 30 and the inductive power source on the other may be employed. The use of external power sources and inductively powered implanted coils is well known to the art and are routinely used, for example, in charging implanted pacemaker devices.

For a first alternative, energy would be fed into the pick-up coil until enough was stored in the capacitor to drive the motor

28. Upon the firing of the motor 28 the hand-held device would sense the discharge, and shut-off for the prescribed lock-out period.

The second alternative is the same, except that an on-board battery assists in charging the capacitor, and thus requires significantly more control electronics.

Consider now the surgical implantation of rod 10 and its operation within the body. Two pedicle screws 52 as shown in FIG. 5 are placed at the top and two pedicle screws 52 at the bottom of the curve in a spine which being treated. Fixed head screws (not shown) are used. The expandable rod 10 is run above the fascia and beneath the skin between the two constructs. The rod 10 is then expanded daily typically for 2-3 months. The duration of treatment is variable and is determined according to medical considerations, which are not directly relevant here. After the desired curve in the spine is attained, the screws 52 and rod 10 are removed.

FIG. 3 shows moving from left to right in the drawing a posterior view of a normal straight, normal in lateral bending, and a scoliotic spine. Note that with normal lateral bending as shown in the center there is no significant rotation. Indeed, in scoliosis as shown in the right most depiction as in lateral bending there is no significant increase in rotation. This is because scoliosis is caused by a tight ligamentum flavum. Because of this scoliosis patients have loss of kyphosis or are even lordotic, even in the thoracic spine. These facts can make a slight curve in the expanding rod 10 very useful as shown in the left most depiction in FIG. 4. As the spine straightens, rod 10 is also flexible enough to slowly straighten with the spine as shown in the right most depiction in FIG. 4.

FIG. 4 shows the initial rod placement in the left most drawing. As the rod 10 expands, the spine has nowhere to go except to have the pedicle screws 52 cut out or for the spine to straighten. Because the expansion is slow, the pedicle screws 52 don't cut out. As the spine straightens, and also because the rod 10 is posterior to the ligamentum flavum and is in a poor position to stretch the anterior longitudinal ligament, the spine will be pushed from a horizontal into a vertical position, thus restoring normal kyphosis.

In order to allow both rotation, and some play for the re-establishment of kyphosis, the rod-to-pedicle screw articulation is provided as shown in FIG. 5. Rod 10 is coupled to pedicle screws 52 by means of a pin 54 fixed to screws 52. The extend end 58 of pin 54 is tapered and is disposed into a conical socket 56 defined into the adjacent end 60 of rod 10. This allows rod 10 to swivel around the pivot provided between the socket-to-pin connection to rod 10 by fixed pin 54 and pedicle screws 52.

Scoliosis can be treated without difficult, conspicuous, (and ineffective) bracing; and without fusion. The key is to stretch out the ligamentum flavum. The proposed device discussed should be effective in stretching the spine out of scoliosis. This device would also have several applications other than the spine.

Many alterations and modifications may be made by those having ordinary skill in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Therefore, it must be understood that the illustrated embodiment has been set forth only for the purposes of example and that it should not be taken as limiting the invention as defined by the following invention and its various embodiments.

For example, while a cycling rotational motor 28 with a rotary ratchet has been described, it is entirely within the scope of the invention that a cycled linear motor 29 in combination with a linear ratcheting mechanism 31, both shown in FIG. 2b, could be substituted to replace the rotational motor embodiment illustrated in FIG. 2a.

Further, while an ohmically heated motor is the preferred embodiment, it is also possible to conceive of use of a thermally or otherwise powered piston motor where the driving fluid may be heated by RF diathermy or ultrasound energy.

Still further the motor may be a rotary motor or linear motor with the propelling element of the motor is magnetically coupled to a moving magnetic field source and is combined through appropriate gearing to a ratcheting mechanism which telescopes rod 10.

Any means by which energy from an external source can be coupled into a motor which will mechanically telescope rod 10 is included within the spirit and scope of the invention.

Therefore, it must be understood that the illustrated embodiment has been set forth only for the purposes of example and that it should not be taken as limiting the invention as defined by the following claims. For example, notwithstanding the fact that the elements of a claim are set forth below in a certain combination, it must be expressly understood that the invention includes other combinations of fewer, more or different elements, which are disclosed in above even when not initially claimed in such combinations. A teaching that two elements are combined in a claimed combination is further to be understood as also allowing for a claimed combination in which the two elements are not combined with each other, but may be used alone or combined in other combinations. The excision of any disclosed element of the invention is explicitly contemplated as within the scope of the invention.

The words used in this specification to describe the invention and its various embodiments are to be understood not only in the sense of their commonly defined meanings, but to include by special definition in this specification structure, material or acts beyond the scope of the commonly defined meanings. Thus if an element can be understood in the context of this specification as including more than one meaning, then its use in a claim must be understood as being generic to all possible meanings supported by the specification and by the word itself.

The definitions of the words or elements of the following claims are, therefore, defined in this specification to include not only the combination of elements which are literally set forth, but all equivalent structure, material or acts for performing substantially the same function in substantially the same way to obtain substantially the same result. In this sense it is therefore contemplated that an equivalent substitution of two or more elements may be made for any one of the elements in the claims below or that a single element may be substituted for two or more elements in a claim. Although elements may be described above as acting in certain combinations and even initially claimed as such, it is to be expressly understood that one or more elements from a claimed combination can in some cases be excised from the combination and that the claimed combination may be directed to a subcombination or variation of a subcombination.

Insubstantial changes from the claimed subject matter as viewed by a person with ordinary skill in the art, now known or later devised, are expressly contemplated as being equivalently within the scope of the claims. Therefore, obvious substitutions now or later known to one with ordinary skill in the art are defined to be within the scope of the defined elements.

The claims are thus to be understood to include what is specifically illustrated and described above, what is conceptually equivalent, what can be obviously substituted and also what essentially incorporates the essential idea of the invention.

I claim:

1. A non-invasively expandable rod system for treating scoliosis comprising:
 - a first end configured to be coupled to a first location on a subject's spine;
 - a second end configured to be coupled to a second location on the subject's spine, wherein the second location and the first location are separated by a first length;
 - a hollow outer member having an axis, a first end, and a second end, wherein the hollow outer member comprises an opening disposed substantially at one or more of the first end and the second end;
 - an inner member configured to be slideably received by the opening of the hollow outer member and telescopically slideable within the hollow outer member and substantially along the axis;
 - a ratchet contained within the hollow outer member and configured for controlling movement between the inner member and the hollow outer member when the ratchet is in a first, engaged position, the ratchet being adjustable between the first, engaged position and a second, disengaged position, such that the non-invasively expandable rod system is prevented from shortening when the ratchet is in the first, engaged position and is allowed to shorten when the ratchet is in the second, disengaged position; and
 - a socket for removably receiving a tool into the non-invasively expandable rod system, the tool configured to adjust the ratchet between the first, engaged position and the second, disengaged position; wherein the expandable rod system is configured to maintain a subsequent second length between the first location on the subject's spine and the second location on the subject's spine, and wherein when in the first, engaged position, the ratchet contributes to maintaining a position of the inner member with respect to the outer member.
2. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 1, further comprising a drive unit disposed in the hollow outer member and operatively coupled to the inner member, wherein actuation of the drive unit moves the inner member longitudinally relative to the hollow outer member.
3. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 2, further comprising a screw operatively coupled to the drive unit.
4. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 3, wherein the drive unit comprises a rotational motor.
5. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 3, wherein the drive unit comprises a propelling element magnetically coupled to a moving magnetic field source.
6. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 2, further comprising an external drive configured to non-invasively convey energy to the drive unit, wherein the conveyed energy actuates the drive unit.
7. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 1, wherein at least one of the first end and second end is configured to be coupled to the subject's spine with a pedicle screw.
8. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 7, wherein the at least one of the first end and second end is configured to have an articulation with respect to the pedicle screw.
9. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 1, further comprising an anti-rotation member disposed on at least one of the hollow outer member and the inner member and configured to prevent relative rotation between the hollow outer member and the inner member.
10. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 1, wherein the ratchet is a rotary ratchet.

11. A non-invasively expandable rod system for treating scoliosis comprising:

- a first end configured to be coupled to a first location on a subject's spine;
- a second end configured to be coupled to a second location on the subject's spine, wherein the second location and the first location are separated by a first length;
- a hollow outer member having an axis, a first end, and a second end, wherein the hollow outer member comprises an opening disposed substantially at one or more of the first end and the second end;
- an inner member configured to be slideably received by the opening of the hollow outer member and telescopically slideable within the hollow outer member and substantially along the axis; and
- a ratchet contained within the hollow outer member and configured for controlling movement between the inner member and the hollow outer member when the ratchet is in a first, engaged position, the ratchet being adjustable between the first, engaged position and a second, disengaged position by the use of a separate tool which is insertable into the non-invasively expandable rod system, such that the non-invasively expandable rod system is prevented from shortening when the ratchet is in the first, engaged position and is allowed to shorten when the ratchet is in the second, disengaged position, wherein the expandable rod system is configured to maintain a subsequent second length between the first location on the subject's spine and the second location on the subject's spine, and wherein when in the first, engaged position, the ratchet contributes to maintaining a position of the inner member with respect to the outer member.

12. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 11, further comprising a drive unit disposed in the hollow outer member and operatively coupled to the inner member, wherein actuation of the drive unit moves the inner member longitudinally relative to the hollow outer member.

13. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 12, further comprising a screw operatively coupled to the drive unit.

14. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 13, wherein the drive unit comprises a rotational motor.

15. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 13, wherein the drive unit comprises a propelling element magnetically coupled to a moving magnetic field source.

16. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 12, further comprising an external drive configured to non-invasively convey energy to the drive unit, wherein the conveyed energy actuates the drive unit.

17. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 11, wherein at least one of the first end and second end is configured to be coupled to the subject's spine with a pedicle screw.

18. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 17, wherein the at least one of the first end and second end is configured to have an articulation with respect to the pedicle screw.

19. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 11, further comprising an anti-rotation member disposed on at least one of the hollow outer member and the inner member and configured to prevent relative rotation between the hollow outer member and the inner member.

20. The non-invasively expandable rod system of claim 11, wherein the ratchet is a rotary ratchet.

* * * * *